

Aspectos matemáticos del límite semiclásico de la mecánica cuántica en una variedad compacta

Fabrizio Macià

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Seminario CADEDIF, 24 de octubre de 2008

- 1 The correspondence principle
- 2 The W.K.B. method and Geometric Optics
- 3 The semiclassical limit
- 4 Eigenfunction concentration
- 5 Manifolds with periodic geodesic flow
- 6 The torus

Classical Mechanics

Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold.

The **position** $x(t)$ and **momentum** $\xi(t)$ of a **free Newtonian particle** in M , vary according to:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \partial_{\xi} H(x, \xi), \\ \dot{\xi} = -\partial_x H(x, \xi); \end{cases}$$

where H , defined on T^*M , is given in coordinates by:

$$H(x, \xi) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d g^{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j + V(x);$$

with $(g^{ij}) := (g_{ij})^{-1}$.

When $V = 0$, this defines the **geodesic flow** ϕ_t of (M, g) on T^*M .

The Liouville formulation

The Hamiltonian system of O.D.E.'s may also be written as a P.D.E. for the density of particles $\mu_t(x, \xi)$ at time t :

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(\mu_t X_H) = 0,$$

once an initial density $\mu_t|_{t=0} = \mu_0$ on T^*M is prescribed.

The Liouville formulation

The Hamiltonian system of O.D.E.'s may also be written as a P.D.E. for the density of particles $\mu_t(x, \xi)$ at time t :

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(\mu_t X_H) = 0,$$

once an initial density $\mu_t|_{t=0} = \mu_0$ on T^*M is prescribed.

Initial state $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*M \leftrightarrow$ initial density $\mu_0(x, \xi) = \delta_{x_0}(x) \delta_{\xi_0}(\xi)$.

The Liouville formulation

The Hamiltonian system of O.D.E.'s may also be written as a P.D.E. for the density of particles $\mu_t(x, \xi)$ at time t :

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(\mu_t X_H) = 0,$$

once an initial density $\mu_t|_{t=0} = \mu_0$ on T^*M is prescribed.

Initial state $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*M \leftrightarrow$ initial density $\mu_0(x, \xi) = \delta_{x_0}(x) \delta_{\xi_0}(\xi)$.

The solution μ_t is then

$$\mu_t(x, \xi) = \delta_{x(t)}(x) \delta_{\xi(t)}(\xi),$$

where $(x(t), \xi(t))$ is the corresponding classical trajectory.

Classical Mechanics

A **quantum free particle** moves according to Schrödinger's equation:

$$i\hbar\partial_t u(t, x) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta_x u(t, x) - V(x)u(t, x) = 0 \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times M.$$

Now, Δ_x is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to g . In coordinates:

$$\Delta_x u(x) = \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{x_i} \rho(x) g^{ij}(x) \partial_{x_j} u(x),$$

with $\rho(x) := (\det g(x))^{1/2}$.

Classical Mechanics

A **quantum free particle** moves according to Schrödinger's equation:

$$i\hbar\partial_t u(t, x) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta_x u(t, x) - V(x)u(t, x) = 0 \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times M.$$

Now, Δ_x is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to g . In coordinates:

$$\Delta_x u(x) = \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{x_i} \rho(x) g^{ij}(x) \partial_{x_j} u(x),$$

with $\rho(x) := (\det g(x))^{1/2}$.

Interpretation

- $|u(t, x)|^2$ is the **position** probability density;
- “ $|\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2$ ” is the **momentum** probability density.

Solutions to the Schrödinger equation

Suppose $\Delta - V$ has *discrete spectrum* (e.g., if M is compact or $V(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} +\infty$).

Then there exists a sequence of eigenvalues $0 \leq \lambda_j \nearrow +\infty$ and an orthonormal basis in $L^2(M)$ consisting of eigenfunctions:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta \psi_{\lambda_j}(x) + V(x) \psi_{\lambda_j} = \lambda_j \psi_{\lambda_j}, \quad x \in M.$$

The solutions to the Schrödinger equation are of the form:

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{\lambda_j} e^{-it\lambda_j} \hat{u}(\lambda_j) \psi_{\lambda_j}(x).$$

The Classical-Quantum correspondence I

Heuristically

As the characteristic **oscillation frequencies** $1/h^2$ of a solution $u(t, x)$ to the Schrödinger equation **tend to infinity**, the behavior of $|u(t, x)|^2$ is determined by **classical mechanics**.

The Classical-Quantum correspondence II

A little bit more precise

If (u_h) is an *h -oscillatory* sequence:

$$u_h(t, x) = \sum_{r/h^2 \leq \lambda_j \leq R/h^2} e^{-it\lambda_j} \hat{u}_h(\lambda_j) \psi_{\lambda_j}(x),$$

for some $0 < r < R$ (this means that (u_h) oscillates at frequencies $\sim 1/h^2$) then the limit of

$$|u_h(t, x)|^2, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0^+,$$

propagates according to a law related to the classical dynamics (if $V = 0$, this is the geodesic flow of (M, g)).

Realizations of the C-Q

Times $t \sim 1$ - The Semiclassical Limit

$|u_h(t, x)|^2$ propagates following classical mechanics.

The geometry does not play a rôle.

Realizations of the C-Q

Times $t \sim 1$ - The Semiclassical Limit

$|u_h(t, x)|^2$ propagates following classical mechanics.

The geometry does not play a rôle.

Uniform in time - Eigenfunction concentration

If $u_h(0, \cdot) = \psi_\lambda$ is an eigenfunction, then the solution of the evolution problem satisfies

$$|e^{it\lambda}\psi_\lambda|^2 = |\psi_\lambda|^2.$$

The limit $\lambda = 1/\hbar^2 \rightarrow \infty$ depends on fine dynamical properties of the geodesic flow.

Realizations of the C-Q

Times $t \sim 1/h$ - *Long-time* semiclassical limit

This is the intermediate regime we shall be interested in.
It requires an analysis of the full propagator for long times:

$$|u_h(t/h, x)|^2.$$

One expects that the dispersive effects associated to the Schrödinger equation become effective.

Classical approach

Consider

$$u_h(t, x) := e^{iht\Delta/2} u_h^0,$$

Classical approach

Consider

$$u_h(t, x) := e^{iht\Delta/2} u_h^0,$$

which solves the semiclassical Schrödinger equation:

$$ih\partial_t u_h(t, x) + \frac{h^2}{2} \Delta u_h(t, x) = 0.$$

Classical approach

Consider

$$u_h(t, x) := e^{iht\Delta/2} u_h^0,$$

which solves the semiclassical Schrödinger equation:

$$ih\partial_t u_h(t, x) + \frac{h^2}{2} \Delta u_h(t, x) = 0.$$

Consider initial data of the form:

$$u_h^0(x) := \rho_0(x) e^{iS_0(x)/h},$$

Classical approach

Consider

$$u_h(t, x) := e^{iht\Delta/2} u_h^0,$$

which solves the semiclassical Schrödinger equation:

$$ih\partial_t u_h(t, x) + \frac{h^2}{2} \Delta u_h(t, x) = 0.$$

Consider initial data of the form:

$$u_h^0(x) := \rho_0(x) e^{iS_0(x)/h},$$

The W.K.B. method constructs an **approximate solution** with this initial data.

The Ansatz

Look for an approximate solution of the form

$$v_h(t, x) := \rho(t, x) e^{iS(t, x)/h},$$

The Ansatz

Look for an approximate solution of the form

$$v_h(t, x) := \rho(t, x) e^{iS(t, x)/h},$$

Then,

$$ih\partial_t v_h + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta v_h = -\rho \left(\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla S|^2 \right) e^{iS(t, x)/h}$$

The Ansatz

Look for an approximate solution of the form

$$v_h(t, x) := \rho(t, x) e^{iS(t, x)/h},$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ih\partial_t v_h + \frac{h^2}{2}\Delta v_h &= -\rho \left(\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla S|^2 \right) e^{iS(t, x)/h} \\ &+ ih \left(\partial_t \rho + \nabla S \cdot \nabla \rho + \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \rho \right) e^{iS(t, x)/h} \end{aligned}$$

The Ansatz

Look for an approximate solution of the form

$$v_h(t, x) := \rho(t, x) e^{iS(t, x)/h},$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ih\partial_t v_h + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta v_h &= -\rho \left(\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla S|^2 \right) e^{iS(t, x)/h} \\ &+ ih \left(\partial_t \rho + \nabla S \cdot \nabla \rho + \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \rho \right) e^{iS(t, x)/h} \\ &+ \hbar^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta \rho e^{iS(t, x)/h}. \end{aligned}$$

The result

If we are able to solve S for $t \in [-T, T]$ in:

$$\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla S|^2 = 0, \quad S|_{t=0} = S_0,$$

The result

If we are able to solve S for $t \in [-T, T]$ in:

$$\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla S|^2 = 0, \quad S|_{t=0} = S_0,$$

and then ρ in:

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla S \cdot \nabla \rho + \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \rho = 0, \quad \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0$$

The result

If we are able to solve S for $t \in [-T, T]$ in:

$$\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla S|^2 = 0, \quad S|_{t=0} = S_0,$$

and then ρ in:

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla S \cdot \nabla \rho + \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \rho = 0, \quad \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0$$

then

$$ih\partial_t v_h + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta v_h = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta \rho e^{iS(t,x)/\hbar}.$$

The result

If we are able to solve S for $t \in [-T, T]$ in:

$$\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla S|^2 = 0, \quad S|_{t=0} = S_0,$$

and then ρ in:

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla S \cdot \nabla \rho + \frac{1}{2} \Delta S \rho = 0, \quad \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0$$

then

$$ih\partial_t v_h + \frac{h^2}{2} \Delta v_h = \frac{h^2}{2} \Delta \rho e^{iS(t,x)/h}.$$

Therefore, the difference between the exact and approximate solutions satisfies:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} \|u_h(t, \cdot) - v_h(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(M)} = 0.$$

Times of order one?

As a conclusion

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left| e^{iht\Delta/2} u_h^0 \right|^2 dm = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} |v_h(t, \cdot)|^2 dm = |\rho(t, x)|^2 dm.$$

By solving the transport equation, one sees that $\rho(t, x)$ is transported along classical trajectories corresponding to $(x, dS_0(x))$.

Times of order one?

As a conclusion

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left| e^{iht\Delta/2} u_h^0 \right|^2 dm = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} |v_h(t, \cdot)|^2 dm = |\rho(t, x)|^2 dm.$$

By solving the transport equation, one sees that $\rho(t, x)$ is transported along classical trajectories corresponding to $(x, dS_0(x))$.

Times of order one?

This leads formally to considering $v_h(t/h, x)$. And therefore:

$$S(t/h, x), \quad \text{for } h \text{ small.}$$

Or, in other words, long time behavior for solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Wigner measures: motivation

We want to compare

$$|u_h|^2 \text{ (a density in } M)$$

with

the classical flow ϕ_t^H (which lives in T^*M)

via the Liouville equation, for densities in T^*M .

Wigner measures: motivation

We want to compare

$$|u_h|^2 \text{ (a density in } M)$$

with

the classical flow ϕ_t^H (which lives in T^*M)

via the Liouville equation, for densities in T^*M .

Therefore, we shall replace $|u_h(x)|^2$ by a phase-space density $W_{u_h}^h(x, \xi)$ called the **Wigner measure** of u_h .

Wigner measures: motivation (technical)

It is not convenient to analyze directly $|u_h(t, x)|^2$.

Main reason

Even for times of order one, the limits of $|u_h(t, \cdot)|^2$ are not determined by those of $|u_h(0, \cdot)|^2$.

An example in \mathbb{R}^d with $V = 0$:

$$u_h(0, \cdot) = \rho(x) e^{i\xi_0/h \cdot x} \Rightarrow |u_h(t, x)|^2 = \left| e^{it\Delta_x/2} \rho(x - t\xi_0/h) \right|^2.$$

Therefore $|u_h(t, \cdot)|^2$ does not only depend on $|u_h(0, \cdot)|^2 = |\rho(x)|^2$ **but also on** ξ_0 .

This is because $|u_h(t, x)|^2$ does not detect the directions of oscillations of the sequence (u_h) .

Wigner measures: general definition

We replace the measure $|u_h|^2$ on M :

$$\int_M \varphi(x) |u_h(t, x)|^2 dx = (\varphi u_h(t, \cdot) | u_h(t, \cdot))_{L^2(M)},$$

Wigner measures: general definition

We replace the measure $|u_h|^2$ on M :

$$\int_M \varphi(x) |u_h(t, x)|^2 dx = (\varphi u_h(t, \cdot) | u_h(t, \cdot))_{L^2(M)},$$

by the measure $W_{u_h}^h$ on T^*M :

$$\int_{T^*M} a(x, \xi) W_{u_h}^h(t, dx, d\xi) := (\text{op}_h(a) u_h(t, \cdot) | u_h(t, \cdot))_{L^2(M)}.$$

Where, for a continuous $a(x, \xi)$ defined on T^*M ,

$$\text{op}_h(a) = a(x, hD_x)$$

is a (semiclassical) pseudodifferential operator of symbol a .

Wigner measures: general definition

We replace the measure $|u_h|^2$ on M :

$$\int_M \varphi(x) |u_h(t, x)|^2 dx = (\varphi u_h(t, \cdot) | u_h(t, \cdot))_{L^2(M)},$$

by the measure $W_{u_h}^h$ on T^*M :

$$\int_{T^*M} a(x, \xi) W_{u_h}^h(t, dx, d\xi) := (\text{op}_h(a) u_h(t, \cdot) | u_h(t, \cdot))_{L^2(M)}.$$

Where, for a continuous $a(x, \xi)$ defined on T^*M ,

$$\text{op}_h(a) = a(x, hD_x)$$

is a (semiclassical) pseudodifferential operator of symbol a .

This is called the **Wigner measure** of u_h .

Properties

- ① It contains more information than $|u_h|^2$:

$$\int_{T_x^*M} W_{u_h}^h(t, x, d\xi) = |u_h(t, x)|^2.$$

Properties

- ① It contains more information than $|u_h|^2$:

$$\int_{T_x^*M} W_{u_h}^h(t, x, d\xi) = |u_h(t, x)|^2.$$

- ② It is not positive, but its limits are. If

$$W_{u_h}^h(t, \cdot) \rightharpoonup \mu_t, \quad h \rightarrow 0^+,$$

then μ_t is a **positive** finite Radon measure on T^*M .

Properties

- ① It contains more information than $|u_h|^2$:

$$\int_{T_x^*M} W_{u_h}^h(t, x, d\xi) = |u_h(t, x)|^2.$$

- ② It is not positive, but its limits are. If

$$W_{u_h}^h(t, \cdot) \rightharpoonup \mu_t, \quad h \rightarrow 0^+,$$

then μ_t is a **positive** finite Radon measure on T^*M .

- ③ Fundamental example, **coherent states**. If

$$u_h(0, x) = h^{-d/4} \rho\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\sqrt{h}}\right) e^{i\xi^0/h \cdot x} \text{ then}$$

$$W_{u_h}^h(0, \cdot) \rightharpoonup \delta_{x_0}(x) \delta_{\xi^0}(\xi),$$

is concentrated on a point (x_0, ξ_0) in phase-space T^*M .

Egorov's theorem

Let X_H be the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to $H(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|_x^2 + V(x)$.

Egorov's theorem

Let X_H be the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to $H(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|_x^2 + V(x)$.

The Wigner measure $W_{u_h}^h$ solves:

$$\partial_t W_{u_h}^h + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left(W_{u_h}^h X_H \right) = h \mathcal{L}_h W_{u_h}^h \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_t \times T^*M,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_h W_{u_h}^h$ is locally uniformly bounded in t .

Egorov's theorem

Let X_H be the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to $H(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|_x^2 + V(x)$.

The Wigner measure $W_{u_h}^h$ solves:

$$\partial_t W_{u_h}^h + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left(W_{u_h}^h X_H \right) = \mathcal{L}_h W_{u_h}^h \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_t \times T^*M,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_h W_{u_h}^h$ is locally uniformly bounded in t .

The limiting Wigner measure solves the **Liouville equation**:

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} (\mu_t X_H) = 0.$$

Eigenfunction limits

Let (ψ_{λ_k}) be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Eigenfunction limits

Let (ψ_{λ_k}) be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Write $h = 1/\sqrt{\lambda_j}$; **the Wigner measures are constant** in t :

$$W_{e^{-it\lambda/2}\psi_\lambda}^h = W_{\psi_\lambda}^h$$

Eigenfunction limits

Let (ψ_{λ_k}) be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Write $h = 1/\sqrt{\lambda_j}$; **the Wigner measures are constant** in t :

$$W_{e^{-it\lambda/2}\psi_\lambda}^h = W_{\psi_\lambda}^h$$

Their limits μ are:

Eigenfunction limits

Let (ψ_{λ_k}) be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Write $h = 1/\sqrt{\lambda_j}$; **the Wigner measures are constant** in t :

$$W_{e^{-it\lambda/2}\psi_\lambda}^h = W_{\psi_\lambda}^h$$

Their limits μ are:

- ① probability measures,

Eigenfunction limits

Let (ψ_{λ_k}) be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Write $h = 1/\sqrt{\lambda_j}$; **the Wigner measures are constant** in t :

$$W_{e^{-it\lambda/2}\psi_\lambda}^h = W_{\psi_\lambda}^h$$

Their limits μ are:

- 1 probability measures,
- 2 invariant by the geodesic flow,

Eigenfunction limits

Let (ψ_{λ_k}) be a sequence of normalized eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$.

Write $h = 1/\sqrt{\lambda_j}$; **the Wigner measures are constant** in t :

$$W_{e^{-it\lambda/2}\psi_\lambda}^h = W_{\psi_\lambda}^h$$

Their limits μ are:

- ① probability measures,
- ② invariant by the geodesic flow,
- ③ supported on $S^*M := \{(x, \xi) \in T^*M : \|\xi\|_x = 1\}$.

Problem

Classify all such limiting Wigner measures μ

Problem

Classify all such limiting Wigner measures μ

Hard problem in general. Depends on fine dynamical properties of the geodesic flow.

Problem

Classify all such limiting Wigner measures μ

Hard problem in general. Depends on fine dynamical properties of the geodesic flow.

Some examples

- On the torus \mathbb{T}^d : the projection of $\mu(x, \xi)$ is absolutely continuous wrt Lebesgue measure (Bourgain). Complete characterization for $d = 2$ (Jakobson). Open for $d \geq 3$.

Problem

Classify all such limiting Wigner measures μ

Hard problem in general. Depends on fine dynamical properties of the geodesic flow.

Some examples

- On the torus \mathbb{T}^d : the projection of $\mu(x, \xi)$ is absolutely continuous wrt Lebesgue measure (Bourgain). Complete characterization for $d = 2$ (Jakobson). Open for $d \geq 3$.
- If (M, g) has negative curvature then the geodesic flow is Anosov. Most eigenfunctions tend to $dx d\xi$ (Schnirelman, Zelditch, Colin de Verdière, Rudnick-Sarnak...). Exceptional sequences may concentrate on sets of positive entropy (Anantharaman, Nonnenmacher).

Theorem (D. Jakobson and S. Zelditch, 1997)

The set attainable measures μ in the sphere \mathbb{S}^d is exactly the set of all the measures in $S^\mathbb{S}^d$ that are invariant under the geodesic flow.*

Theorem (D. Jakobson and S. Zelditch, 1997)

The set attainable measures μ in the sphere \mathbb{S}^d is exactly the set of all the measures in $S^\mathbb{S}^d$ that are invariant under the geodesic flow.*

Theorem (F.M., 2007)

The same holds if (M, g) is a Compact Rank-One Symmetric Space (it necessarily has periodic geodesic flow).

Theorem (D. Jakobson and S. Zelditch, 1997)

The set attainable measures μ in the sphere \mathbb{S}^d is exactly the set of all the measures in $S^\mathbb{S}^d$ that are invariant under the geodesic flow.*

Theorem (F.M., 2007)

The same holds if (M, g) is a Compact Rank-One Symmetric Space (it necessarily has periodic geodesic flow).

Theorem (D. Azagra and F.M., 2008)

The same holds if (M, g) is homogeneous and of constant sectional curvature $K > 0$.

Times of order $t \sim 1/h$

Theorem (F.M. 2006)

The following holds:

- ① The rescaled Wigner measures $W_{u_h}^h(t/h, \cdot)$ converge in average to a measure $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{M}_+(T^*M))$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) W_{u_h}^h(t/h, \cdot) dt \rightharpoonup \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \mu(t, \cdot) dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Times of order $t \sim 1/h$

Theorem (F.M. 2006)

The following holds:

- 1 The rescaled Wigner measures $W_{u_h}^h(t/h, \cdot)$ converge in average to a measure $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{M}_+(T^*M))$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) W_{u_h}^h(t/h, \cdot) dt \rightharpoonup \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \mu(t, \cdot) dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

- 2 Every $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is invariant by the classical flow.

Times of order $t \sim 1/h$

Theorem (F.M. 2006)

The following holds:

- ① The rescaled Wigner measures $W_{u_h}^h(t/h, \cdot)$ converge in average to a measure $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t; \mathcal{M}_+(T^*M))$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) W_{u_h}^h(t/h, \cdot) dt \rightharpoonup \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \mu(t, \cdot) dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

- ② Every $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is invariant by the classical flow.
- ③ A weak form of Egorov's theorem holds. If $a \in C_c^\infty(T^*M)$ is invariant, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{T^*M} a W_{u_h}^h(t/h, \cdot) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{T^*M} a W_{u_h}^h(0, \cdot) \\ &= \int_{T^*M} a(x, \xi) d\mu_0(x, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Manifolds with periodic geodesic flow

In order to obtain a more precise description of the set of Wigner measures, we must restrict the geometry.

Suppose (M, g) is a **Zoll manifold**, *i.e.* a manifold such that every geodesic is closed.

Manifolds with periodic geodesic flow

In order to obtain a more precise description of the set of Wigner measures, we must restrict the geometry.

Suppose (M, g) is a **Zoll manifold**, i.e. a manifold such that every geodesic is closed.

Theorem (F.M. 2006)

The following holds:

$$\int_{T^*M} a(x, \xi) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) = \int_{T^*M} \langle a \rangle(x, \xi) \mu_0(dx, d\xi).$$

Here

$$\langle a \rangle(x, \xi) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T a(\phi_s(x, \xi)) ds,$$

ϕ_s being the geodesic flow in T^*M .

As a consequence:

Corollary

If $W_{u_h}^h(0, \cdot) \rightarrow \delta_{x_0} \delta_{\xi_0}$ then

$$\mu(t, x, \xi) = \delta_\gamma(x, \xi)$$

where γ is the geodesic issued from (x_0, ξ_0) .

Corollary

The set of Wigner measures associated to solutions to Schrödinger's equation in a Zoll manifold coincides with the set of invariant measures in T^*M .

Analysis in \mathbb{T}^d

Consider the set of **resonant** frequencies:

$$\Omega := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \xi \cdot k = 0 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Analysis in \mathbb{T}^d

Consider the set of **resonant** frequencies:

$$\Omega := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \xi \cdot k = 0 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

We have,

Theorem (F.M. 2007. Non-resonant case)

If $\mu^0(\mathbb{T}^d \times \Omega) = 0$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^*\mathbb{T}^d} a(x, \xi) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) &= \int_{T^*\mathbb{T}^d} \langle a \rangle(x, \xi) \mu_0(dx, d\xi) \\ &= \int_{T^*\mathbb{T}^d} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} a(y, \xi) dy \right) \mu_0(dx, d\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Resonant case

If $\mu_0(\mathbb{T}^d \times \Omega) > 0$ then $\mu(t, x, \xi)$ may be non-constant in time.

Resonant case

If $\mu_0(\mathbb{T}^d \times \Omega) > 0$ then $\mu(t, x, \xi)$ may be non-constant in time.

Example

Let $\xi^0 \in \Omega$. Take $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $u_h(x)$ be the periodization of

$$\rho(x) e^{i\xi^0/h \cdot x}.$$

Then

$$\mu_0(x, \xi) = |\rho(x)|^2 dx \delta_{\xi^0}(\xi)$$

but

$$\mu(t, x, \xi) = \left\langle \left| e^{it\Delta_x/2} \rho(x) \right|^2 \right\rangle_{\xi^0} dx \delta_{\xi^0}(\xi).$$

Above,

$$\langle a \rangle_{\xi^0}(x) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T a(x + t\xi^0) dt$$

Resonant case

If $\mu_0(\mathbb{T}^d \times \Omega) > 0$ then $\mu(t, x, \xi)$ does not depend solely on μ_0 .

Resonant case

If $\mu_0(\mathbb{T}^d \times \Omega) > 0$ then $\mu(t, x, \xi)$ does not depend solely on μ_0 .

Example

Let $\xi^0 \in \Omega$ and $\eta^0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$. Suppose now that $u_h(x)$ is the periodization of

$$\rho(x) e^{i(\xi^0 + \varepsilon \eta^0)/h \cdot x}$$

where $h \ll \varepsilon$. Then

$$\mu(t, x, \xi) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\rho(y)|^2 dy \right) dx \delta_{\xi^0}(\xi).$$

Therefore, *two **distinct** sequences with the same μ_0 can give rise to **different** measures μ .*

General result

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of periodic geodesics of \mathbb{T}^d that pass through the origin.

Theorem (F.M. 2008)

The following formula holds:

$$\mu(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \mu_\gamma(t, x, \xi) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mu_0(dx, \xi),$$

where

$$\mu_\gamma(t, x, \xi) = \left[e^{it\Delta_x/2} m_\gamma(x, y, \xi) e^{-it\Delta_y/2} \right] \Big|_{x=y},$$

and m_γ are measures on \mathbb{R}_ξ^d taking values in the space of symmetric, trace-class operators on $L^2(\gamma)$ that only depend on the initial data $(u_h(0, \cdot))$.

Structure

- Since m_γ is trace-class, the projection of $\mu_\gamma(t, x, \xi)$ on x is in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Structure

- Since m_γ is trace-class, the projection of $\mu_\gamma(t, x, \xi)$ on x is in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.
- The term

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \mu_\gamma(t, x, \xi)$$

is concentrated on the set Ω of resonant frequencies.

Structure

- Since m_γ is trace-class, the projection of $\mu_\gamma(t, x, \xi)$ on x is in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.
- The term

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \mu_\gamma(t, x, \xi)$$

is concentrated on the set Ω of resonant frequencies.

- The measures $\mu_\gamma(0, x, \xi)$ are two-micolocal objects that characterize the concentration of energy of the initial data on the hyperplane orthogonal to γ .